1	CITY OF NORTH CANTON, OHIO
2	SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF
6	MARCH 5, 2021, MEETING
7	VIRTUAL MEETING
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Transcript of Proceedings of the North Canton City
13	Council, taken by me, the undersigned, Laurie Maryl Jonas,
14	a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public in and for
15	the State of Ohio, at North Canton, Ohio, on Friday,
16	March 5, 2021, at 12:00 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Premier Court Reporting
25	Canton 330.492.4221 Akron 330.928.1418 www.premierreporters.com

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Daniel Jeff Peters, Council President, Ward 2
3	Daryl Revoldt, Council Vice President, At Large
4	Stephanie Werren, Ward 3
5	Dominic Fonte, Ward 4
6	Mark R. Cerreta, At Large
7	Matthew Stroia, At Large
8	Patrick A. DeOrio, Director of Administration
9	Catherine A. Farina, Deputy Director of Administration and Development
10	Jina Alaback, Director of Finance
11	Wayne Boyer, Director of Law
12	Stephan B. Wilder, Mayor
13	Benjamin R. Young, Clerk
14	
15	-
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. PETERS: All right, folks, at this time
2	I'd like to call to order the North Canton special
3	council meeting, March 5, 2021. The time is
4	12:01 p.m.
5	Mark, Dominic, would either one of you like
6	to lead us in prayer?
7	MR. CERRETA: I sure will.
8	Lord, I thank you for bringing us here today.
9	Thank you for giving us the honor and responsibility
10	to represent your people of North Canton. Give us
11	good ears and good eyes to see what you need us to
12	see and make good decisions for this community, now
13	and in the future. Help us all to be safe and
14	healthy as we moved forward.
15	In God's name, we pray. Amen.
16	MR. PETERS: Thank you, Mark. Amen.
17	All right. If you'll join me in the Pledge
18	of Allegiance.
19	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
20	MR. PETERS: Okay. At this time, Ben, would
21	you please call the roll.
22	MR. YOUNG: Member Peters.
23	MR. PETERS: Here.
24	MR. YOUNG: Member Fonte.
25	MR. FONTE: Here.

1	MR. YOUNG: Member Revoldt.
2	MR. REVOLDT: Here.
3	MR. YOUNG: Member Stroia. Member Stroia?
4	MR. PETERS: He's on mute.
5	MR. STROIA: Here. Sorry about that.
6	MR. YOUNG: Member Cerreta.
7	MR. CERRETA: Here.
8	MR. YOUNG: Member Werren.
9	MRS. WERREN: Here.
10	MR. YOUNG: And Member Foltz could not join
11	us. Six present.
12	MR. PETERS: All right. Motion to excuse
13	Member Foltz.
14	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
15	MR. STROIA: Stroia seconds.
16	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
17	("Aye" in unison.)
18	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
19	carries.
20	All right, Ben, do we have anyone speaking?
21	MR. YOUNG: We do not have anyone signed up
22	to speak.
23	MR. PETERS: Okay. All right. Well, we'll
24	move on to new business. This is in response to the
25	February 8, 2021, letter from Woda Cooper Development

and it's concerned the potential development of the Waterside property. At this time I will turn the floor over to our legal counsel, Mr. Wayne Boyer. Wayne, the floor is yours.

MR. BOYER: Thank you, sir.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah, we just wanted to go over some talking points related to that letter.

So as you mentioned, this is for the property in question over at 300 Waterside Drive in North That property is subject to a development agreement that was signed back in 2008 by and between the current owner, Waterside Development Company of Stark County, and North Canton, and that letter laid out a situation, laid out basically that North Canton agreed to invest \$275,000 of taxpayer money, as well as a grant that they had received from the Ohio Department of Development in the amount of \$350,000, so a total of \$625,000 income towards the development of infrastructure at the property. In return, Waterside agreed to pay \$275,000 and agreed to create 120 jobs in relation to the development of that property for commercial purposes. Half of those jobs were to be of the, quote/unquote, high-tech variety. Waterside had also agreed to market the development of that property to achieve the commercial nature

that they had agreed to.

North Canton agreed to it for obvious reasons. You know, they had a goal of developing, redeveloping, revitalizing the Main Street commercial corridor, and in furtherance of that agreement they constructed what is now known as Waterside Drive, which actually bisects the property that we're discussing.

Recently on February 8, North Canton received a letter from Woda Cooper Development which stated that Woda and another developer by the name of ITN, Incorporated, planned to develop not a commercial use of that property but a residential rental complex on that property via two three-story residential buildings. In furtherance of that development, Woda planned to use funding from the Ohio Housing Finance Authority, we'll call them OHFA instead of repeating that mouthful every time. And OHFA requested that the city have comments and/or objections to that letter within 30 days of receipt of it. The project, as listed in the letter, said that they — that Woda intended to develop up to 60 housing units on the property.

Importantly for North Canton's end, North
Canton has never received any sort of formal plan or

application related to that potential residential development. And furthermore, the development in that letter doesn't follow the original development agreement in that there doesn't appear to be any sort of jobs being created. There's no furtherance of the commercial opportunities for North Canton or any sort of development of the original planned technology and research park.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, in essence, the reason for being here is to figure out what our response to this letter is going to be from North Canton's perspective, and there's two potential responses. You know, response A is we draft a letter back to Woda that says, hey, North Canton supports the project as presented and allow it to move forward. In alternative, we would draft a letter indicating that North Canton does not support the project as presented, and the reasons for that would generally be the fact that, you know, outlining the original development agreement, outlining North Canton's desire to hold true to the terms of that development agreement so that the public investment that had already been outlaid at the outset would be realized through future development of that property and that North Canton continues to believe that the property, you know,

holds a great opportunity for commercial development and job creation in the city as evidenced by the development of the first portion of that property which occurred recently in relation to the dentist office that I believe construction was completed last year on it.

So basically that's where we sit. We have a chance, you know, to respond to it in either fashion and we just want to go over and figure out what the formal response is going to be.

MR. PETERS: So Ben or Daryl, this would be a question for you as well. Legislatively speaking, should we do a voice vote on whether we're going to — which letter we're going to send in response, either for or against?

MR. YOUNG: So Krugliak has drafted two resolutions for us. One is in support of the project and one is in opposition of the project. Whichever one we may choose to consider today, we would need to first suspend the rules of council requiring a committee to bring the resolution on to the docket formally. That resolution will then be Resolution No. 5-2021 and then we go through a normal emergency legislation vote process.

MR. PETERS: Okay. Well, let me first do

that. May I have a motion and a second to suspend
the rules of council requiring a committee report as
relates to this.

MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.

MR. CERRETA: Cerreta seconds.

MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."

("Aye" in unison.)

MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion carries.

So we suspended the rules. At this point,
Ben, do -- should I take a voice vote on whether the
letter is going to be in favor or opposition or --

MR. FONTE: Hey, President Peters. I was just curious. So like from the city's standpoint, this piece of property -- and I understand what has happened and everything as far as the, you know, the concessions and taxpayer contributions for what the goal is, is to create, you know, the Main Street corridor opportunities since we have limited opportunities for commercial job creation and whatnot. The highest and best use of this property, do we know what that is? Is the highest and best use rental or residential rental, commercial? I mean, it seems like the goal is the city is looking to do commercial development in general in our limited

1	space. So the highest and best use you're saying
2	still is, in the opinion, commercial; right? Or
3	MR. PETERS: That would be my opinion. That
4	would be my opinion.
5	MR. FONTE: Does anybody else have a comment
6	on that? That's kind of what I'm thinking right now,
7	that you're saying the highest and best use is
8	commercial; correct?
9	MR. REVOLDT: Dominic, this is Daryl. I
10	would argue the same, that the highest and best use
11	is commercial and it's evidenced, as Attorney Boyer
12	has indicated, by the construction of a new dental
13	office on a portion of that property.
14	MR. FONTE: I see. So how long has this been
15	sitting there, roughly?
16	MR. REVOLDT: The road was dedicated, I
17	believe, in 2010.
18	MR. FONTE: Okay. All right. Got it. Thank
19	you.
20	MR. PETERS: Okay. So getting back to how I
21	do this, Ben, should we take a roll call vote in
22	favor or against, or voice vote? How do you want me
23	to do this?
24	MR. REVOLDT: Let me let me do this for
25	you. Let me make a motion that council send a letter

1	in opposition, send a letter of opposition to OHFA.
2	MR. BOYER: Hey, Daryl, real quick, if I may.
3	Just before we get into that, I just want to make
4	sure that there's not any further discussion from any
5	of the other council members on anything going on.
6	MR. REVOLDT: If there's no further
7	discussion. We can wait.
8	MR. PETERS: Okay. I see none, so we have a
9	motion to the response letter to be in opposition.
10	Is there a second?
11	MR. STROIA: Stroia seconds.
12	MR. PETERS: All right. Ben, do you want me
13	to call the role on this or voice?
14	MR. YOUNG: So we can do a voice vote unless
15	a council member would like to move to divide the
16	council, which would require a roll call vote.
17	MR. PETERS: Okay. Well, we'll start with a
18	voice vote. We have a second or a motion and a
19	second. All in favor, say "aye."
20	("Aye" in unison.)
21	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Passes
22	6-0. And right there you see it, Ben. Okay.
23	MR. YOUNG: Yes. So this is the resolution
24	that would lead to a letter in opposition.
25	MR. PETERS: Okay. That's clear on what

- 1	
1	you're voting on here today.
2	MR. REVOLDT: Correct.
3	MR. PETERS: All right. Very good. That
4	concludes the agenda.
5	MR. YOUNG: Well, we would need to pass this
6	resolution.
7	MR. PETERS: Oh, okay. Here we go.
8	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. Let me
9	MR. PETERS: Hold on one second.
10	MR. YOUNG: There needs to be a motion to
11	read either by title or in its entirety.
12	MR. PETERS: Yes.
13	MR. REVOLDT: Let me make the motion to read
14	by title only Resolution 5-21.
15	MR. STROIA: Stroia seconds.
16	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
17	("Aye" in unison.)
18	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
19	carries.
20	Ben.
21	MR. YOUNG: A resolution joining, affirming,
22	adopting and ratifying the City's response to the
23	February 8, 2021, from letter from Woda Cooper
24	Development, Incorporated, concerning the proposed
25	development of property located at 300 Waterside

1	Drive, Southeast, North Canton, Ohio 44720, and
2	declaring the same to be an emergency. Sorry, I
3	forgot that in the title there. So we do have an
4	emergency clause in it. I just forgot that phrase.
5	MR. PETERS: Okay. Seeing that there's no
6	further comment and we've already suspended the rules
7	requiring a committee report, I just need a motion
8	and a second to adopt Resolution 5-21 under
9	emergency.
10	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
11	MRS. WERREN: Werren seconds.
12	MR. YOUNG: We need to adopt the first
13	reading and then suspend the rules requiring three
14	readings and then adopt on an emergency.
15	MR. PETERS: Okay.
16	MR. REVOLDT: We'll catch it.
17	MR. PETERS: We need a motion and a second to
18	adopt the first reading of Resolution 5-21.
19	MR. REVOLDT: Revolt has moved.
20	MRS. WERREN: Werren, second.
21	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
22	("Aye" in unison.)
23	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
24	carries.
25	And may I have a motion and a second to

_	
1	suspend the rules of council requiring three
2	readings.
3	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
4	MRS. WERREN: Werren, second.
5	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
6	("Aye" in unison.)
7	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
8	carries.
9	And finally, a motion and a second to adopt
10	under suspension of the rules.
11	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
12	MRS. WERREN: Werren, second.
13	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
14	("Aye" in unison.)
15	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
16	carries.
17	And, Ben, that should do it. That concludes
18	our agenda. I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
19	MRS. WERREN: So moved, Werren.
20	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt seconds.
21	MRS. WERREN: Got you, Daryl.
22	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
23	("Aye" in unison.)
24	MR. PETERS: Opposed? All right. Motion
25	carries. We are adjourned. Thank you, everyone.

1	
1	-,
2	(Meeting adjourned at 12:16 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	Attest:
6	Margaria M. A. Land
7	Benjamin R. Young Daniel Jeff Peters Clerk of Council City Council President
8	Clerk of Council City Council President
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF OHIO))SS
5	STARK COUNTY)
6	I, Laurie Maryl Jonas, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly
7	commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that this meeting was by me reduced to Stenotype and afterwards
8	prepared and produced by means of Computer-Aided Transcription, and that the foregoing is a true and
9	correct transcription.
10	I further certify that this meeting was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified.
11	I further certify that I am not a relative,
12	employee of or attorney for any party or counsel, or otherwise financially interested in the event of this
13	action.
14	I do further certify that I am not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a
15	contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Canton, Ohio, on this
17	14th day of March, 2021.
18	
19	Lauris Maryl Jonas Laurie Maryl Jonas, Ryk & Notary Public.
20	My commission expires January 6, 2022.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	