

City of NORTH CANTON, OHIO 145 NORTH MAIN STREET

145 NORTH MAIN STREET NORTH CANTON OHIO 44720-2587 Permits and Inspection Department

> (330) 499-5557 (330) 966-3630 (Fax)

PLANNING COMMISSION

APPROVAL OF OCTOBER 3, 2018 MINUTES

After review of the minutes of the Planning Commission Meeting held October 3, 2017:00 p.m., the minutes have been approved. J. Dennis Rechtner, Chairman Planning Commission	8 at
. Member	

Planning Commission

				·	
					·
			•		

1	CITY OF NORTH CANTON, OHIO
2	
3	
4	IN RE:
5	NORTH CANTON)
6	PLANNING COMMISSION) PUBLIC HEARING) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	,
8	
9	
10	
11	Transcript of Proceedings, taken before the North
12	Canton Planning Commission, taken by the undersigned,
13	Shannon Roberts, a Registered Professional Reporter
14	and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the
15	offices of North Canton City Hall, 145 North Main
16	Street, North Canton, Ohio, on Wednesday, the 3rd day
17	of October, 2018, at 7:00 p.m.
18	
19	
20 .	
21	
22	
23	
24	Premier Court Reporting Canton 330.492.4221 Akron 330.928.1418
25	www.premierreporters.com

APPEARANCES:
T. D
J. Dennis Flechtner, Chairman
Thomas Serra, Member
Peter Volas, Member
Jamie McCleaster, Member
Eric Dalpiaz, Member
Timothy Fox, Director of Law
Patrick DeOrio, Director of Administration
John Stigalt, Chief Building Officer
Christine Whittenberger
·

1	MR. FLECHTNER: I'll call the meeting to
2	order. Roll call, please.
3	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Volas.
4	MR. VOLAS: Here.
5	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Serra.
6	MR. SERRA: Here.
7	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Dalpiaz.
8	MR. DALPIAZ: Here.
9	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. McCleaster.
10	MR. MCCLEASTER: Here.
11	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Flechtner.
12	MR. FLECHTNER: Here.
13	Okay. The first item on our agenda is
14	the submittal of the minutes of August 1st,
15	2018.
16	MR. SERRA: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion
17	to approve the August 1st, 2018 minutes.
18	MR. FLECHTNER: Is there a second?
19	MR. MCCLEASTER: Second.
20	MR. FLECHTNER: Any discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. FLECHTNER: Roll call, please.
23	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Volas.
24	MR. VOLAS: Yes.
25	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Serra.

1	MR. SERRA: Yes.
2	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Dalpiaz.
3	MR. DALPIAZ: Yes.
4	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. McCleaster.
5	MR. MCCLEASTER: Yes.
6	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Flechtner.
7	MR. FLECHTNER: Abstain.
8	We also have the minutes of our September
9	5th, 2018 meeting.
10	MR. MCCLEASTER: I move we accept the
11	minutes.
12	MR. FLECHTNER: Is there a second?
13	MR. VOLAS: Second.
14	MR. FLECHTNER: Any discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. FLECHTNER: Roll call, please.
17	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Volas.
18	MR. VOLAS: Yes.
19	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Serra.
20	MR. SERRA: Yes.
21	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Dalpiaz.
22	MR. DALPIAZ: Abstain.
23	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. McCleaster.
24	MR. MCCLEASTER: Yes.
25	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Flechtner.

MR. FLECHTNER: Yes.

Next item on the our agenda is a public hearing, the adoption of the Main Street
Business District map. First I'll call on
Mr. DeOrio to go through.

MR. DEORIO: Okay.

MR. FLECHTNER: I know we did this last week, but let's get it in this -- these minutes.

MR. DEORIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The impetus for this started, you know, some time ago. If you may recall, at various Planning Commission meetings in the past, where applicants would come and look to seek relief for their properties, business properties along Main Street, and due to the code, they were unable to comply because their lot sizes were too small.

The Planning Commission had indicated that their hands were kind of tied; that there was not much you could do, because there wasn't a map. We didn't know.

Although the Main Street Business District was created and was put in the code some time ago, there wasn't a map indicating what

that -- those parcels were.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

So that request then fell onto the City Council. The City Council had committee meetings, readings, made a request that a map be created and sent back to the Planning Commission for consideration. Myself, Chief Building Official, Mr. Stigalt, worked on this map in trying to create what was in the spirit of the Main Street Business District, which was to look at the parcels and try to determine which ones were the ones that we are having issues with, size constraints.

And after looking at every single parcel, looking every one up on the Stark County Auditor's Web site, and looking at the data, and then looking at the maps, we were able to determine that, basically, lots that were approximately an acre or smaller were the ones that were having issues with trying to meet various codes, as required setbacks, signage, lighting, parking.

Lots that had, you know, one and a quarter acre or more and up didn't seem to have those types of issues. And so as we went through this, it didn't make sense that even though -- you know, of course, the K-Mart property isn't on Main Street, and, you know, in the -- in the spirit of between Everhard and -- or Orion, should they be given leeway on all those subjects today, when they have the space to deal with those issues.

So that was the intent in going through there. And we were able to indicate, with the blue-purple color, that those parcels that fit the -- the bill from a size standpoint were given that indication. It was not taken into consideration, you know, what the use of the parcel was. It was just -- because the use can change. It's the matter of what size is the parcel. So that's -- that's the background.

MR. FLECHTNER: Mr. Stigalt, anything else you want to add?

MR. STIGALT: Just to say that this is a very important piece to help relieve -- give relief to the developers for those lots, because as Mr. DeOrio mentioned, it will enhance and create an atmosphere that developers will want to come here and develop

those areas without the major constraints as they had in the other districts. That's it,

MR. FLECHTNER: Thank you. With that,

I'll open it up to anyone in the audience
that might have any comments on this issue.

Come forward. Give your name.

MR. OSBORNE: Chuck Osborne, 307 Fairview Street, Southeast, North Canton, Ohio. I just think this whole endeavor is misguided. You want to basically lock North Canton into the '60s.

These small lots need to be zoned out of existence, just like R-250. I have been up here preaching for several years. They are not functional. But you are bending over backwards to keep these small lots in existence, these old hundred-plus year homes now that have limited ingress, egress, parking issues, and on and on. I haven't examined this, because you are going to do what you are going to do anyway.

I see you even got the Eric's Grocery

Bag, the former Eric's Grocery Bag in this as

a -- I don't know why. All these Main Street

businesses back right up against a residential property. Why are you trying to cram more activity on these little businesses right next to homes?

Anything that will move this City forward as far as business is going to require consolidation of numerous parcels. We have the bank up here, Premier Bank. I don't know how many parcels it consumed. It turned out to be a very nice development, a very -- an enhancement for Main Street.

But what you are doing here I think is ludicrous and you are going to find out that, well, we didn't need this anyway. So anyway, I'm just not impressed with this at all. Thank you.

MR. FLECHTNER: Thank you.

MR. FONTE: Good evening. My name is
Dominic Fonte. I live at 965 Fair Oaks
Avenue, Southwest, North Canton, Ohio. You
know, I'm interested in the Main Street
Business District. I have been pushing it
since before last year. And it had been
initiated, I guess, in '03, and it just sat
stagnant for many years.

And what set me off on the whole thing is -- I just have to go back to Pav's, because Pav's is a really nice amenity that most of us had a chance to share. And what Chuck was always upset about was this -- this shared parking arrangement. If we would have had this in place, that could have solved that problem.

And there is no perfect solution to what we are dealing with. We have old real estate. We are trying to retrofit it into the 21st century. Not everybody has millions of dollars. We don't have millions of dollars worth of development. But the point is that I think this is a stepping stone, a start -- start moving in the right direction, that we have some flexibility now. You guys have flexibility to work with different things if it fits the bill.

But I think what's going to happen is,
you know, you are going to see more people
walking, you're going to see more foot
traffic, which means we'll maybe not have to
park. You know, there are a lot of good
things that are going to happen. This is

1 just a stepping stone. 2 And then, eventually, someone will come in -- you know, once the Hoover District and 3 once all these places get going, maybe 4 someone will come in and take several of 5 those older pieces and turn it into something 6 like what Chuck is saying, which is what I'm hoping. 8 But for right now, this gives us the 9 10 opportunity to go ahead and get the process started. And I'm really tickled that we are 11 12 moving in the right direction. Thank you 13 very much. 14 MR. FLECHTNER: Thank you. 15 Anyone else? 16 (No response.) MR. FLECHTNER: With that, Mr. Fox, 17 18 anything you want to add? MR. FOX: Yes, sir. I just want to 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. FOX: Yes, sir. I just want to remind the Commission that I believe it was Gary -- Gary Fry, he was here as the director of permits and economic development. He had made note that, hey, we have this Main Street Business District as -- as an option, yet he was unable to find any location that it has

been implemented.

And that, together with the Commission knowing that it's -- someone is hamstrung when someone comes in and wants a certain type of -- of plan, and then is limited, when it would fall into this Main Street Business District. And that was the -- the very -- well, it appears to be the very impetus behind this.

And the -- the Commission had expressed that -- a bit of frustration, where a developer could be so close on a setback or required parking, and the Commission would either have to deny it -- even though it appeared that it was a worthwhile endeavor, that it either be denied or could only approve it on the condition that they receive a variance for either parking or setback or so forth.

So that that's -- that's the rationale behind asking Council, as part of our codified ordinance, as one of the ways to do that is if there is a recommendation that the Planning Commission take a look at the Council recommendation. And so indeed they

put that together with the Administrator for
the Main Street corridor, but also -- also
looked at -- there are some properties, and,
you know, one of those singled out there,
given that I think we all know the size of
it, the K-Mart area; that it may not be
appropriate to apply something like this,
where it just -- for an example, where
they -- they may ask for some sort of relief
to place, say, a sign within the
right-of-way, or closer than it normally
could, and that wouldn't be reasonable, in
comparison to some of these smaller ones.
It's just what this was designed for, to

It's just what this was designed for, to alleviate some of those, kind of, pinch points for setbacks and parking, and to give this Commission some reasonable discretion, to be able to authorize plans so long as, you know, it fits within the parameters here, and it's reasonable.

And one ironic point that I want to -- to address is that Mr. Osborne, although he came and said this is essentially preposterous, he has also stated that he is the architect behind the overhaul in legislation for

Planning and Zoning that made the Main Street
Business District possible. So to, one, say
you are the champion behind making this
available, and then coming forward and saying
it's ridiculous, that these places should
just sit shuttered, because they -- they
can't have the required setbacks or parking,
there is just a bit of irony that goes along
with that.

alter this. You can reject it. You can accept it and make the recommendation for any one of those, to send it back to Council.

Then Council has the authority, once it's -- it's back with your recommendations, to do the same thing; it has the ability to accept it in its entirety, the ability to modify it, the ability to change it in its entirety. It requires three meetings, a public hearing, and a majority vote of Council.

MR. FLECHTNER: Thank you.

MR. FOX: Yes, sir.

MR. FLECHTNER: Question, Mr. DeOrio, even though we have designated parcels that are in the Main Street Business District, the

1.

1	underlying zoning classification remains the
2	same, like office buildings
3	MR. DEORIO: That is correct.
4	MR. FLECHTNER: whatever it may be?
5	MR. DEORIO: GBA, GBB.
6	MR. FLECHTNER: Yeah. It could be
7	anything. And it's, again, limited parcels.
8	And it's not the entire length of Main Street
9	within the North Canton City limits.
10	Other questions or comments from the
11	Commission?
12	MR. MCCLEASTER: I do have I'm sorry.
13	MR. VOLAS: I'm sorry. I apologize for
14	my voice. The first thing, I just want the
15	record to reflect that Eric's Grocery Bag is
16	not in this Main Street Business District, as
17	mentioned, according to this map?
18	MR. DEORIO: Eric's is on the side
19	street.
20	MR. VOLAS: It's on the side street,
21	right? It's not according to this map
22	MR. DEORIO: It's not
23	MR. VOLAS: it's not that way. So
24	just a point of clarification.
25	The second thing is

1	MR. OSBORNE: It's color-coded on mine
2	and it is.
3	MR. FLECHTNER: Well, it's you can
4	look at the big map
5	MR. VOLAS: On this
6	THE REPORTER: Wait.
7	MR. FLECHTNER: I think if you look at
8	the big map, there is the small map, it's
9	hard to differentiate between the purple and
10	the dark blue, and so if you look at the
11	MR. FOX: Stay in your seat. Don't
12	approach the dais. Damn it. He can't come
13	up to the dais.
14	MR. OSBORNE: Well, just a second
15	MR. FOX: Mr. Chairman, I ask you find
16	him out of order, that he can't run up from
17	the audience and approach the dais.
18	MR. VOLAS: I just wanted clarification.
19	MR. OSBORNE: Make sure I get the
20	materials, and I wouldn't have to.
21	MR. FLECHTNER: You had your say.
22	MR. FOX: You are out of order.
23	MR. VOLAS: I would just like there to be
24	factual statements for this conversation.
25	MR. FOX: Of course.

1 MR. FLECHTNER: Right. MR. VOLAS: Second thing is, there is 2 that -- you know, once we deliberate on this, 3 I wasn't sure what the -- City Council looked 4 at it, the zoning designation, but it seemed 5 logical to me, in an appropriate economic 6 7 development model, that there should be a -some resolution or some legislation that 8 should tie economic development incentives to 9 promote this Main Street Business District. 10 11 MR. FOX: Sure. MR. VOLAS: Tax abatements, low interest 12 loans, forgiveness of some sort. We have got 13 14 plenty of economic development mechanisms in 15 place to help that. Some are appropriate, 16 some are not. 17 MR. FOX: Agreed. MR. VOLAS: So it seemed appropriate to 18 me, and I would ask that the City Council 19 20 take that under consideration, to truly enhance this as a solution for the future and 21 to preserve the viability of the area. 22 23 That's my only comment. 24 MR. FLECHTNER: Thank you. 25 MR. MCCLEASTER: Yeah, I quess I have a

couple questions that I thought about since we talked about this last time. And I guess maybe this might be more along the lines of a nit-picking fashion, but the two places that kind of struck in my mind that maybe I had questions about was, one, Walgreens, and, two, the church that I attend, Zion UCC, which is right next to Saint Paul's.

2.2

And I bring those up, because I notice
that -- that Walgreens, while it is a larger
lot -- I don't know the exact size; it
appears to be sitting on multiple lots, which
that's a whole other issue of itself. But I
guess if it's one building now on that
large -- you know, larger parcel -- well,
collection of parcels, so to speak, would
that be something we would want to include on
Main Street Business, given that the use of
it now is larger than your -- what was it -one and a half acres?

And then, also, I go to, again -- and I have to look exactly where it is on this map -- the Zion UCC building, which was approximately, what, 10, 15, 20 parcels that were recently combined. So this might be an

1	outdated map. Again, they were small
2	parcels, but now it's one large parcel. So,
3	I guess, that probably shouldn't be included
4	in this, as well. So I guess to ask would be
5	nit-picky. I just wondered, you know, is now
6	the time to go and pick these little
7	little individual parcels out? Or what
8	are your thoughts on that?
9	MR. DEORIO: So Walgreens is on the
10	intersection of Main and
11	MR. FLECHTNER: Schneider.
12	MR. DEORIO: Schneider.
13	MR. MCCLEASTER: Schneider. It's between
14	Glenwood, correct?
15	MR. DEORIO: That one that one is on
16	the map. Are you saying you don't want it on
17	the map?
18	MR. MCCLEASTER: No, I I'm just
19	asking. I'm not I'm just thinking maybe
20	we can have a discussion about it.
21	Because
22	MR. DEORIO: Maybe
23	MR. MCCLEASTER: I think the acreage
24	of that that facility would be more than
25	one and a half. But I believe it looks

1	like it's multiple lots.
2	MR. DEORIO: It's multiple lots
3	MR. MCCLEASTER: Right.
4	MR. DEORIO: right. Now, if they
5	if they if they had not consolidated that
6	after they had acquired all those
7	MR. MCCLEASTER: Right.
8	MR. DEORIO: parcels.
9	MR. MCCLEASTER: Yeah.
10	MR. DALPIAZ: Well, the underlying zoning
11	still applies, correct?
12	MR. FOX: Correct.
13	MR. DALPIAZ: Right? So
14	MR. FLECHTNER: Correct.
15	MR. DALPIAZ: I don't think it's so
16	much I think we have targeted I think
17	you mentioned you targeted lot size, so to
18	speak.
19	MR. MCCLEASTER: Right.
20	MR. DALPIAZ: But I think it's also just
21	a defined corridor for this designation, as
22	well. Meaning the underlying zoning still
23	applies, but in the instance that something
24	is outside of that, we have the flexibility
25	to approve that or make recommendations to

1	MR. MCCLEASTER: True.
2	MR. DALPIAZ: change the layout.
3	Ultimately, we can say, no, you have to
4	comply with the underlying zoning, in the
5	instance of a Walgreens or whatever, where
6	they can combine lots.
7	MR. MCCLEASTER: Okay.
8	MR. DALPIAZ: But this would just offer
9	the flexibility; if they couldn't comply, we
10	could
11_	MR. MCCLEASTER: Right.
12	MR. DALPIAZ: approach it in a
13	different manner. And, also, I just want to
14	extend, it's you know, parking is not the
15	only thing. I think we talked in the past
16	about defining signage through the Main
17	Street Business District, among other things.
18	So I think this is a more defining
19	corridor
20	MR. MCCLEASTER: Okay.
21	MR. DALPIAZ: that provides some
22	flexibility. Still the underlying zoning
23	applies, so we still have that as, you have
24	to follow this.
25	MR. MCCLEASTER: Okay. Fair enough.

1	MR. DALPIAZ: I think that's the correct
2	interpretation of it.
3	MR. FOX: I agree.
4	MR. FLECHTNER: Right. We I'm not
5	sure I you were clear in the way you were
6	presenting that. But we cannot modify the
7	underlying zoning.
8	MR. DALPIAZ: Right.
9	MR. FLECHTNER: Okay.
10	MR. DALPIAZ: Correct. That's going to
11	stay.
12	MR. FLECHTNER: Which was stated earlier.
13	So that complies or that would need a zone
14	change to do anything different.
15	Any other questions or comments?
16	MR. SERRA: Yeah. Tim, I have got a
17	question. Since I own properties on Main
18	Street, can I or can I not vote on this?
19	MR. FOX: Well, I I would recommend
20	that because this would apply to you,
21	clearly, that you recuse yourself for for
22	deliberating and voting. That would be my
23	my recommendation to you.
24	MR. SERRA: I would agree.
25	MR. FLECHTNER: Thank you for bringing

1	that up, Tom.
2	Okay. I think we have discussed this and
3	discussed this, and it has been on, and I'm
4	glad to see it finally come to fruition.
5	Seeing no other further questions or
6	comments, I'll call for a vote or or a
7	motion.
8	MR. MCCLEASTER: I vote that we accept
9	this map as presented.
10	MR. FLECHTNER: Is there a second?
11	MR. VOLAS: I'll second it.
12	MR. FLECHTNER: Any further discussion?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. FLECHTNER: Roll call, please.
15	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Volas.
16	MR. VOLAS: Yes.
17	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Serra.
18	MR. SERRA: Abstain.
19	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Dalpiaz.
20	MR. DALPIAZ: Yes.
21	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. McCleaster.
22	MR. MCCLEASTER: Yes.
23	MS. WHITTENBERGER: Mr. Flechtner.
24	MR. FLECHTNER: Yes.
25	That completes our agenda for this

1	
1	evening, so I will entertain a motion to
2	adjourn.
3	MR. SERRA: I'll make that motion.
4	MR. FLECHTNER: Is there a second?
5	MR. DALPIAZ: I'll second it.
6	MR. FLECHTNER: All in favor?
7	MR. DALPIAZ: Aye.
8	MR. MCCLEASTER: Aye.
9	MR. VOLAS: Aye.
10	MR. SERRA: Aye.
11	MR. FLECHTNER: Aye.
12	Motion passed. Thank you very much.
13	
14	(This proceeding concluded at 7:25 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO,
3	STARK COUNTY.)
4	I, Shannon Roberts, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
5	Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within testimony so given was by me
6	reduced to Stenotype, afterwards prepared and produced by means of Computer-Aided Transcription, and that the
7	foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given.
8	
9	I further certify that this proceeding was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified.
10	-
11	I further certify that I am not a relative of, employee of or attorney for any party or counsel, or
12	otherwise financially interested in this action.
	I do further certify that I am not, nor is the
13 14	court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
15	and affixed my seal of office at Canton, Ohio, this 11th day of October, 2018.
16	
17	Shannon Roberts, Notary Public
L8	My commission expires February 2, 2023
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		,	
	•		
		·	
			·
-			

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO,
3	STARK COUNTY.
4	I, Shannon Roberts, a Registered Professional
5	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby
6	certify that the within testimony so given was by me reduced to Stenotype, afterwards prepared and produced
7	by means of Computer-Aided Transcription, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the
8	testimony so given.
9	I further certify that this proceeding was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified.
10	I further certify that I am not a relative of,
11	employee of or attorney for any party or counsel, or otherwise financially interested in this action.
12	I do further certify that I am not, nor is the
13 14	court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Canton, Ohio, this 11th day of October, 2018.
16	O O A
17	Shannon Roberts, Notary Public
18	My commission expires February 2, 2023
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		· ·
		:
		·